



"Since I began using the Alexis O C-section retractor in my cesarean sections, I have seen a drastic improvement in the new mom's ability to begin breastfeeding her newborn.

"The Alexis O C-section retractor has also decreased the need for strong, painful pressure to be exerted on the uterine fundus to deliver the baby. My cesarean section patients usually go home on post-op day 2, and the use of opiate medications by my patients has significantly decreased."

– Jamie Lipeles, MD

"To be conservative, the Alexis O C-section protector-retractor saves me anywhere from 5 to 10 minutes for each C-section. When you count in the other benefits so it's not just the time factor but the overall picture, looking at the improved visualization, postoperative benefits to the patient, and the possibility that the assist might leave the surgery to attend to another delivery, the benefits far outweigh not using it during a C-section."

– Shahryar Masouem, MD

"Your visualization is just unbelievable. That was the most impressive thing to me, and that's why I continue to use it. Especially in the middle of the night, when I might not have the best surgical assistant available, the Alexis retractor has helped immensely. I can really just do a C-section with myself and a scrub tech."

– Erin Stoehr, DO



Alexis[™] O


C-Section Protector-Retractor





Alexis OC-Section Protector-Retractor

Clinical evidence shows increased patient comfort and improved outcomes when using the Alexis O C-section protector-retractor.¹



1% Surgical Site Infection VS 8% Surgical Site Infection**

Clinical Benefits

The Alexis O C-Section Protector-Retractor

Maximizes exposure with a minimum incision size.

Frees up valuable hands in the operating room.

Reduces scar pain, blood loss and the need for postoperative analgesics.¹

Reduces surgical site infection in low-risk cesarean procedures.¹

Enhances visualization of the operative field.1

Allows the uterus to be sutured in situ,1 which may reduce intraoperative nausea.2

Improves patient satisfaction with wound healing.¹

Minimizes the need for a bladder blade.

Retracts the panniculus away from the operative site.



Alexis O C-Section Protector-Retractor

Rigid retraction ring for maximum uterine exposure

Reorder No.	Size	Sheath Length	Incision Range	Qty/Box
G6313	Large	25cm	9–14cm	5
G6314	Extra Large	34cm	11–17cm	5

Alexis O C-section protector-retractors provide atraumatic, circumferential retraction and protection during nonurgent cesarean sections. [Malaysia: Registered under Act 737, GB26225303917]

Please contact your Applied Medical representative for more information on availability. This information is intended for dissemination exclusively to healthcare professionals and is not intended to replace labeling and Instructions for Use (IFU). Please refer to the IFU for the indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions, instructions and other information.

© 2025 Applied Medical Resources Corporation, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA. All rights reserved. Applied Medical, the Applied Medical logo design, and marks designated with the symbol mare trademarks of Applied Medical Resources Corporation, registered in one or more of the following countries: Australia, Canada, Japan, South Korea, the United States, the United Kingdom, and/or the European Union.



03/2025 390560-EN-D

^{*} Significant P values were calculated for the two populations using a two-sample t-test.

^{**} 6-8 weeks postoperative surveillance (P = .035)

^{1.} Hinkson L, Siedentopf JP, Weichert A, Henrich W. Surgical site infection in cesarean sections with the use of a plastic sheath wound retractor compared to the traditional self-retaining metal retractor. Eur. J Obstet Gymeol Reprod Biol. 2016;203:232-232.8 doi:10.1016/j.ejogr.2016.06.003 (Level of Evidence 1)

^{2.} Siddiqui M, Goldszmidt E, Fallah S, Kingdom J, Windrim R, Carvalho JC. Complications of exteriorized compared with in situ uterine repair at cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110(3):570-575. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000277712.67230.22 (Level of Evidence 1)